# Memorandum

**Date:** **May 30, 2026**

**To:** **Preston Nielsen, Environment and Climate Programs Coordinator**

**From:** **Kris Hadley, Hutchinson Environmental Sciences Ltd.**

**Re:** **J100033 - 2025 Water Quality Monitoring Summary**

In 2025, Seguin Township completed year 16 of sampling for its Water Quality Monitoring Program. This program collects total phosphorus (TP) concentration data and other pertinent lake information (e.g., Secchi depth, dissolved oxygen concentration, lake depth, and dissolved organic carbon, in support of the water quality model developed to predict phosphorus concentrations in the Township[1]. Continued monitoring will also allow the Township to identify any changes in lake water quality as they emerge.

Monitoring in 2025 was conducted by summer students employed by Seguin Township. HESL staff provided sampling instructions which consisted of two half day training sessions in the spring and fall and ongoing technical guidance as well as assisted coordination with the laboratory at Dorset Environmental Science Centre (DESC). Duplicate water samples were collected from 61 sites for analysis of spring overturn TP concentration and in August, 20 sites were revisited to measure dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles, Secchi depth and lake depth.

The major findings from the 2025 Seguin monitoring and the results of the combined data from Seguin's monitoring program and the LPP are summarized below. Recommendations are provided for future sampling.

# 1. Spring Total Phosphorus

## 1.1 Data Screening

Water samples for analysis of total phosphorus are taken into duplicate laboratory-provided glass tubes. Contamination of samples can occur during sample collection which can produce elevated TP concentrations and 'bad splits' between the field duplicates. Even with careful sampling, bad splits occur in approximately 10% of sample submissions to DESC (pers. comm., Bev Clark, MOECP retired). All sample pairs that differed by more than a) 40% from the minimum of the two values, and b) 4 μg/L, were therefore flagged and the higher of the two values was removed, assuming contamination.

There were 3 bad splits identified in the 61 samples collected by Seguin Township in 2025 (Table 1). This represents continued good sampling practices as the percentage of bad splits has remained below 10% since 2012. We recommend continued vigilance in following sampling protocol when collecting water samples to maintain the good record of minimum sample contamination.

[1] *Hutchinson Environmental Sciences, Ltd., 2016.* Review, Update and Refinement of Seguin Township's Water Quality Model (SWQM) and Phosphorus Management Approach. *Final report prepared for Seguin Township. January 2016. 67pp*

**Table 1. Bad Splits between Duplicate Samples Collected by the Seguin Township Monitoring Program and the Lake Partner Program (LPP) for Seguin Township Lakes**

| Year | # of Bad Splits | Total # of Samples | % Bad Splits |
|---|---|---|---|
| **2008** | 3 | 25 | 12 |
| **2009** | 7 | 37 | 19 |
| **2010** | 4 | 36 | 11 |
| **2011** | 8 | 47 | 17 |
| **2012** | 4 | 50 | 8 |
| **2013** | 1 | 53 | 2 |
| **2014** | 1 | 46 | 2 |
| **2015** | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| **2016** | 1 | 49 | 2 |
| **2017** | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| **2018** | 2 | 45 | 4 |
| **2019** | 4 | 43 | 9 |
| **2021** | 5 | 57 | 9 |
| **2022** | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| **2023** | 1 | 51 | 2 |
| **2024** | 0 | 47 | 0 |
| **2025** | 3 | 61 | 5 |
| **Total (08-25)** | 46 | 774 | 6 |

Outliers from the data set were identified using the Dixon's Q and Grubbs' outlier tests[2] at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. Fifteen values were identified as outliers in the 2002-2025 data set and were removed from the dataset prior to analysis (Table 2). One new outlier was identified in the 2025 data.

**Table 2. Outlier Total Phosphorus Values (2002-2025)**

| Lake | Year | Outlier TP (µg/L) | Mean 2002-2025 TP (µg/L) (outlier excluded) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Armishaw Lake** | 2018 | 14.1 | 5.4 |
| **Blackwater Lake** | 2008 | 16.2 | 9.5 |
| **Cosh Lake** | 2008 | 21.0 | 7.0 |
| **Fair Lake** | 2025 | 26.5 | 7.2 |
| **Gilbank Lake** | 2006 | 13.9 | 7.1 |
| **Little Whitefish Lake** | 2006 | 10.1 | 5.1 |
| **Maple Lake** | 2002 | 18.2 | 10.2 |
| **McKechine Lake** | 2021 | 9.8 | 4.3 |
| **Murdock Lake** | 2018 | 68.8 | 11.2 |
| **Oastler Lake** | 2014 | 10.8 | 6.8 |
| **Salmon Lake** | 2002 | 13.2 | 5.8 |
| **Scott Lake** | 2013 | 12.3 | 5.4 |
| **Sucker Lake** | 2008 | 8.4 | 5.8 |
| **Three-Legged Lake** | 2009 | 10.2 | 4.5 |
| **Whitefish Lake** | 2010 | 7.4 | 4.2 |

[2] *For lakes with 3 to 10 years of data, a sample was considered to be an outlier based on both the Dixon and Grubb's test. For lakes with more than 10 years of data, only the Grubb's test was used to identify outliers.*

## 1.2 Summary

Mean spring total phosphorus concentrations for the 97 Seguin lakes with data from 2002 to 2025 ranged from 3.65 to 21.38 µg/L, with an overall average of 8.04 µg/L (Table 3). Eighty-one (81) of the lakes had spring TP concentrations <=10 µg/L, which provides a high level of protection against aesthetic deterioration due to excessive algal production in lakes (MOE 1994).

The MOECP recommends a minimum of two years of spring overturn TP data to be 95% confident of being within 20% of the mean spring concentration of a lake (Clark and Hutchinson 1992). Eighty-nine (89) lakes in Seguin Township now meet or exceed the minimum monitoring data requirements to provide reliable estimates of long term, spring total phosphorus concentrations.

Mann Kendall trend analysis was used to determine whether TP concentrations were changing significantly over time (2002-2025) in lakes with at least 10 years of data. Forty-one (41) lakes in the full Township of Seguin data set met the criteria for trend analysis. No significant increasing trends in total phosphorus were detected.

**Table 3. Mean Spring Total Phosphorus (TP) Concentrations in Seguin Township Lakes (n=97)**

| Lake name | TP 2025 (µg/L) | # of Years Sampled (02-25) | Mean TP (02-25) (µg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Anselmi Lake | | 1 | 8.9 |
| Armishaw Lake | 4.9 | 6 | 5.3 |
| Baby Lake | | 11 | 9.1 |
| Back Lake | 7.5 | 8 | 7.8 |
| Black Water Lake | | 11 | 9.6 |
| Blue Lake | 3.1 | 11 | 3.9 |
| Brennan Lake | | 7 | 10.7 |
| Brush Lake | 4.5 | 8 | 5.3 |
| Burr Lake | | 5 | 7.3 |
| Capton Lake | | 5 | 7.2 |
| Carruthers Lake | | 5 | 6.4 |
| Clear Lake | 3.8 | 19 | 3.6 |
| Cochrane Lake | | 1 | 10.8 |
| Cosh Lake | 6.4 | 8 | 7.0 |
| Diamond Lake | 6.9 | 9 | 8.9 |
| Dick Lake | | 1 | 10.6 |
| Draper Lake | | 9 | 8.3 |
| Dyson Lake | | 12 | 5.0 |
| Fair Lake | | 7 | 7.2 |
| Faris Lake | 3.2 | 9 | 4.1 |
| First Lake | 8.0 | 11 | 8.1 |
| Flaxman Lake | 3.6 | 7 | 4.4 |
| Forget Lake | | 10 | 6.4 |
| Gerow Lake | | 5 | 9.4 |
| Gilbank Lake | 4.2 | 14 | 6.9 |
| Haines Lake | 5.5 | 9 | 7.6 |
| Hamer Lake | | 1 | 9.0 |
| Heaslip Lake | | 1 | 6.7 |
| Home Lake | | 2 | 21.4 |
| Hooton Lake | 4.0 | 6 | 6.1 |

| Lake name | TP 2025 (µg/L) | # of Years Sampled (02-25) | Mean TP (02-25) (µg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Horseshoe Lake | 6.0 | 21 | 7.1 |
| Isabella Lake | 7.5 | 20 | 9.4 |
| Joselin (Burnt) Lake | | 19 | 5.9 |
| Kight Lake | 12.0 | 7 | 11.1 |
| Kingshott Lake | 11.3 | 8 | 9.9 |
| Lake Adele | | 5 | 19.7 |
| Lake Leba | | 5 | 11.9 |
| Lane Lake | | 10 | 5.9 |
| Lieback Lake | 3.9 | 6 | 5.4 |
| Limestone Lake | | 1 | 7.3 |
| Linger Long (Napken) Lake | | 10 | 9.3 |
| Lioness Lake | 7.3 | 5 | 8.9 |
| Little Lake Joe | | 10 | 6.4 |
| Little Otter Lake | 7.3 | 10 | 7.6 |
| Little Seguin/Duck Lake | 8.0 | 18 | 9.5 |
| Little Whitefish Lake | | 17 | 5.1 |
| Long Lake | 5.2 | 9 | 6.0 |
| Long Lake 1 | | 3 | 4.3 |
| Lovell Lake | | 1 | 9.2 |
| Lower Fry Lake | 12.4 | 9 | 12.9 |
| Manitouwaba Lake | 7.6 | 19 | 6.3 |
| Maple Lake | 7.5 | 15 | 10.0 |
| Martin Lake | 7.8 | 10 | 6.8 |
| McDonald Lake | | 10 | 8.9 |
| McGown Lake | 6.9 | 20 | 5.2 |
| McKechine Lake | 2.4 | 8 | 4.0 |
| McLean Lake | 9.3 | 8 | 7.8 |
| McNutt Lake | 9.6 | 13 | 10.1 |
| McTaggart Lake | | 4 | 10.4 |
| Mill Lake | | 2 | 8.0 |
| Mirror Lake | 4.9 | 9 | 6.8 |

| Lake name | TP 2025 (µg/L) | # of Years Sampled (02-25) | Mean TP (02-25) (µg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mohan Lake | | 2 | 6.9 |
| Murdock Lake | 14.2 | 7 | 11.8 |
| Mutton Lake | 9.2 | 8 | 9.6 |
| Neville Lake | 9.1 | 8 | 11.3 |
| Oastler Lake | 4.4 | 19 | 6.4 |
| Otter Lake | 4.1 | 21 | 6.0 |
| Pender Lake | 7.3 | 7 | 5.9 |
| Pickering Lake | | 10 | 13.2 |
| Portage Lake | 4.7 | 11 | 5.8 |
| Rankin Lake | 10.4 | 19 | 8.5 |
| Richmond Lake | 3.9 | 7 | 6.5 |
| Roberts Lake | | 10 | 7.9 |
| Salmon Lake | 5.4 | 19 | 5.7 |
| Scime Lake | | 2 | 9.3 |
| Scott Lake | | 8 | 5.5 |
| Second Lake | 10.8 | 9 | 11.4 |
| Sovereign Lake | 11.0 | 4 | 7.7 |
| Star Lake | 10.6 | 19 | 10.1 |
| Storm Lake | 5.1 | 7 | 6.1 |
| Sucker Lake | 5.6 | 11 | 5.8 |
| Sugar Lake | 6.7 | 20 | 6.7 |
| Ten Mile Lake | 9.8 | 6 | 9.9 |
| Third Lake | 8.4 | 9 | 9.5 |
| Three-Legged Lake | 5.1 | 15 | 4.5 |
| Tiffin Lake/Silver | 6.9 | 11 | 6.6 |
| Trout Lake | 8.4 | 10 | 5.5 |
| Tub Lake | 8.6 | 7 | 7.3 |
| Tucker Lake | 11.5 | 12 | 8.9 |
| Turtle Lake | | 16 | 8.0 |
| Upper Fry Lake | | 18 | 14.0 |
| Virtue Lake | | 11 | 10.0 |

| Lake name | TP 2025 (µg/L) | # of Years Sampled (02-25) | Mean TP (02-25) (µg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Watson Lake | | 4 | 9.3 |
| Whitefish Lake | 3.6 | 13 | 4.2 |
| Windfall Lake | 8.2 | 8 | 8.0 |
| Wright Lake | | 1 | 6.4 |
| Yarrow Lake | 8.6 | 7 | 9.4 |

# 2. Dissolved Organic Carbon and Major Ions

Water quality samples were collected and analyzed for dissolved organic carbon during the spring sampling, however samples were not collected for major ions in 2025.

Past sampling performed for the Township of Seguin has focused on the qualitative assessment of dissolved organic substances by water colour observation, however, beginning in 2017 dissolved organic carbon (DOC) samples were also collected to begin to better quantify DOC and identify lakes in which TP concentrations reflect elevated DOC concentrations. Dissolved organic carbon sampling was performed for the sixth time in the 2025 monitoring campaign. Water samples were collected and submitted to the water chemistry lab at ALS for analysis (Table 4). DOC is the dominant factor explaining TP concentrations in Precambrian Shield Lakes and lakes sampled by the District of Muskoka Lake System Health Program show a significant relationship of TP to DOC (HESL 2016). Lakes with high DOC concentration (i.e., >10 mg/L) will have naturally enriched TP concentrations and may not model well because they fall outside the range of DOC in lakes that were used to develop and calibrate the Province's Lakeshore Capacity Model (Ontario, 2010) which was used as the basis of the Seguin Water Quality Model. No lake sampled in 2025 had DOC concentrations above 10 mg/L (Table 4) but Palmer and Yan (2013) reported that DOC concentrations are increasing in Muskoka area lakes. We recommend continued DOC sampling to expand the DOC data set in Seguin and inform future modelling and allow the assessment of trends in DOC in the Seguin lakes.

# 3. August Field Sampling

Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles, and lake depth were monitored (Table 5) at 20 study sites in August 2025. Of the lakes monitored, twelve were shallow and mixed to the bottom. Four of the lakes displayed low oxygen concentrations (<2.0 mg/L) within 1 m of the lake bottom. These lakes have potential for internal phosphorus loading via hypolimnetic anoxia and were therefore sampled for total phosphorus at the surface and in the deep water (Table 5). In one lake (i.e., Back Lake) only the bottom-most interval displayed low oxygen concentrations and exceptionally high total phosphorus concentrations which may suggest sampling error (i.e., interference of sediment with measurement), additional sampling at this lake in 2027 will help determine if the DO and TP results collected in 2025 are sampling error or internal loading.

The Seguin Township monitoring program collected samples for total phosphorus from 1 meter above bottom (1mob) to document the potential for phosphorus release from lake sediments (internal loading) in lakes whose dissolved oxygen concentrations fell below 2.0 mg/L (n=4, Table 5). Of the 4 top/bottom samples which displayed low oxygen concentrations, 1 collected in Pickering Lake had no increase between the top and bottom sample. All of the remaining sites sampled in fall of 2025 showed higher phosphorus concentrations in the bottom sample. The magnitude of the increase was small in Third Lake indicating that the increase was more likely related to settling of particulate phosphorus from the surface waters than to internal loading. In Rankin Lake, we recorded a marked increase in bottom water total phosphorus concentrations suggesting internal loading is likely contributing phosphorus to these water bodies. Continued top/bottom sampling of Seguin Township lakes is recommended to identify any additional lakes which may experience internal loading of phosphorus and inform future modelling efforts.

**Table 4. Summary of Dissolved Organic Carbon Sampling Conducted in 2025.**

| Lake Name | Results | Date Sampled |
|---|---|---|
| **Armishaw Lake** | 5.48 | 15-May-2025 |
| **Back Lake** | 5.14 | 23-May-2025 |
| **Blue Lake** | 3.3 | 30-May-2025 |
| **Brush Lake** | 4 | 14-Jun-2025 |
| **Clear Lake** | 2.12 | 14-Jun-2025 |
| **Cosh Lake** | 5.9 | 16-May-2025 |
| **Diamond Lake** | 5.53 | 22-May-2025 |
| **Fair Lake** | 4.84 | 27-May-2025 |
| **Faris Lake** | 4.02 | 04-Jun-2025 |
| **First Lake** | 4.19 | 20-May-2025 |
| **Flaxman Lake** | 3.04 | 28-May-2025 |
| **Gillbank Lake** | 3.68 | 13-May-2025 |
| **Haines Lake** | 4.86 | 03-Jun-2025 |
| **Hooton Lake** | 3.95 | 29-May-2025 |
| **Horseshoe Lake STN 08** | 3.46 | 09-May-2025 |
| **Horseshoe Lake STN 09** | 3.56 | 09-May-2025 |
| **Horseshoe Lake STN 10** | 3.58 | 09-May-2025 |
| **Isabella Lake** | 5.74 | 22-May-2025 |
| **Joselin (Burnt Lake)** | 4.74 | 15-May-2025 |
| **Kight Lake** | 5.2 | 12-May-2025 |
| **Kingshott Lake** | 5.96 | 29-May-2025 |
| **Lieback Lake** | 2.95 | 12-May-2025 |
| **Lioness Lake** | 4.3 | 27-May-2025 |
| **Little Otter Lake** | 4.39 | 21-May-2025 |
| **Little Seguin/Duck Lake** | 5.06 | 28-May-2025 |
| **Long Lake** | 3.23 | 14-May-2025 |
| **Lower Fry Lake** | 8.58 | 02-Jun-2025 |
| **Manitouwaba Lake** | 5.69 | 11-Jun-2025 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Maple Lake** | 5.13 | 22-May-2025 |
| **Martin Lake** | 5.08 | 04-Jun-2025 |
| **McGown Lake** | 5.44 | 09-Jun-2025 |
| **McKechine Lake** | 3.99 | 14-May-2025 |
| **McLean Lake** | 5.68 | 04-Jun-2025 |
| **Mirror Lake** | 2.18 | 27-May-2025 |
| **Murdock Lake** | 7 | 30-May-2025 |
| **Mutton Lake** | 5.05 | 13-May-2025 |
| **Neville Lake** | 5.27 | 03-Jun-2025 |
| **Oastler Lake** | 4.56 | 21-May-2025 |
| **Otter Lake** | 4.1 | 09-Jun-2025 |
| **Pender Lake** | 4.08 | 12-May-2025 |
| **Pickering Lake** | 9.45 | 23-May-2025 |
| **Portage Lake** | 4.68 | 15-May-2025 |
| **Rankin Lake** | 4.37 | 09-Jun-2025 |
| **Richmond Lake STN 02** | 4.71 | 21-May-2025 |
| **Richmond Lake STN 03** | 4.78 | 21-May-2025 |
| **Salmon Lake** | 4.29 | 29-May-2025 |
| **Second Lake** | 4.55 | 20-May-2025 |
| **Sovereign Lake** | 6.36 | 29-May-2025 |
| **Star Lake** | 5.05 | 13-May-2025 |
| **Storm Lake** | 5.27 | 28-May-2025 |
| **Sucker Lake** | 4.41 | 27-May-2025 |
| **Sugar Lake** | 3.88 | 13-May-2025 |
| **Ten Mile Lake** | 6.03 | 02-Jun-2025 |
| **Third Lake** | 4.35 | 20-May-2025 |
| **Three-Legged Lake** | 3.43 | 30-May-2025 |
| **Tiffin Lake/Silver** | 5.79 | 16-May-2025 |
| **Tub Lake** | 5.14 | 28-May-2025 |
| **Tucker Lake** | 7.53 | 16-Jun-2025 |
| **White fish Lak** | 3.42 | 16-Jun-2025 |
| **Windfall Lake** | 4.65 | 10-Jun-2025 |
| **Yarrow Lake** | 3.7 | 12-May-2025 |

**Table 5. Summary of August 2025 Field Sampling Results.**

| Lake | Depth (m) | Surface Water Temperature (˚C) | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)[1] | Potentially Anoxic Hypolimnion?[2] | Shallow Mixed Water Column?[3] | Total Phosphorus Top (mg/L) | Total Phosphorus Bottom (mg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armishaw Lake | 6.3 | 24.6 | 2.65 | N | Y | | |
| Back Lake | 11.2 | 26.5 | 6.95 (0.09) | Y | Y | 9.7 | 288.5 |
| Diamond Lake | 3.9 | 22.4 | 6.98 | N | Y | | |
| Fair Lake | 3.5 | 26.1 | 5.35 | N | Y | | |
| First Lake | 2.4 | 23.0 | 7.24 | N | Y | | |
| Haines Lake | 13.2 | 24.0 | 5.5 | N | N | | |
| Little Otter Lake | 4.9 | 27.1 | 9.11 | N | Y | | |
| Little Seguin/Duck | 17.5 | 27.2 | 2.75 | N | N | | |
| McGown Lake | 10.3 | 24.1 | 2.84 | N | N | | |
| McKechine Lake | 5.3 | 25.1 | 7.21 | N | Y | | |
| Mirror Lake | 3.9 | 26.9 | 6.96 | N | Y | | |
| Mutton Lake | 4.9 | 27.9 | 4.78 | N | Y | | |
| Pickering Lake | 10.8 | 25.7 | 0.08 | Y | N | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| Rankin Lake | 20.4 | 23.7 | 0.35 | Y | N | | |
| Second Lake | 2.8 | 23.1 | 6.92 | N | Y | 6.1 | 60.9 |
| Star Lake | 21.3 | 22.3 | 3.94 | N | N | | |
| Storm Lake | 6.8 | 26.9 | 11.23 | N | N | | |
| Third Lake | 9.2 | 23.3 | 0.08 | Y | N | 9.4 | 16.4 |
| Tub Lake | 2.8 | 27.4 | 8.55 | N | Y | | |
| Yarrow Lake | 2.6 | 22.0 | 5.23 | N | Y | | |

[1]value in brackets is the deepest D.O. measurement; [2]mean hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentration <2.0 mg/L; [3]weak stratification refers to lakes that have a thermocline (>1°C change/1 m depth) that extends to the lake bottom.

# 4. Summary and Recommendations

The 2025 Seguin Water Quality Monitoring Program successfully expanded our understanding of water quality in the Township. Samples were collected for total phosphorus, dissolved oxygen, dissolved organic carbon and temperature, providing important data to inform the ongoing assessment of development capacities and aid in the identification of emerging lake health issues in the Township.

The key results of this years monitoring program and recommendations for future sampling are:

- A total of 97 of 129 lakes (75%) in Seguin Township have measured spring total phosphorus concentration data, and 89 of these lakes have at least 2 years of data as of the end of the 2025 sampling season. It is important that Seguin Twp. continue to build this record to inform their lake management program.
- August monitoring of dissolved oxygen, temperature, and lake depth was completed for 20 sites in 2025. These data have been useful to flag lakes where internal loading of phosphorus may pose future management challenges. Continued expansion of this database to include additional lakes and to look for changes over time is recommended but should not be considered authoritative as the sampling period ends well before the end of the period of stratification and so does not capture the maximum oxygen stress.
- We recommend that the monitoring program continue with the focus on lakes that have little or no TP data ('B' lakes), while expanding the long-term monitoring of more frequently monitored lakes and include the collection of top/bottom total phosphorus samples in low oxygen lakes.
- Quantitative analysis of DOC was carried out in 2025 for the sixth time. The majority (n=92) of the lakes that are assessable and frequently sampled by the Township now have at least a single year of DOC data. Some "B" lakes (n=44) have not yet been sampled for DOC, however we note that many of these lakes have not been sampled for total phosphorus due to accessibility issues. HESL will work with Seguin Township to select the most appropriate lakes for additional DOC sampling. Several lakes now have 4 years of DOC data collected as of the end of 2025, with an additional years data there is an opportunity to assess trends in DOC over time to assess regional changes. The potential for this analysis will be discussed with the Township for implementation in the 2027 program.
- Outlier and bad split assessments suggest that the sampling in 2025 was excellent. It is important to reinforce the need for proper field protocol for sample collection and record keeping among the student crew. We recommend that an orientation "refresher" continue to be conducted for the students prior to the August sampling with additional attention to dissolved oxygen sampling methods.

# 5. References

Clark, B.J. and N.J. Hutchinson, 1992: Measuring the trophic status of lakes: sampling protocols. Ont. Min. Envir. Tech. Report. 36 pp.

Hadley, K.R., Paterson, A.M., Reid, R.A., Rusak, J.A., Somers, K.M., Ingram, R., and J.P. Smol. 2015. Altered pH and reduced calcium levels drive near extirpation of native crayfish, *Cambarus bartonii*, in Algonquin Park, Ontario, Canada. Freshwater Science 34: 918-932.

HESL. 2016. Revised Water Quality Model and Lake System Health Program. Prepared for District Municipality of Muskoka. April 2016. 217pp.

Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy, 1994. Water Management Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives of the Ministry of Environment and Energy. Queen's Printer for Ontario, 1994, reprinted March 1995.

Palmer, M.E., N.D. Yan, A.M. Paterson and R.E. Girard. 2011. Water quality changes in south central Ontario lakes and the role of local factors in regulating lake response to regional stressors. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 68: 1038- 1049

Jeziorski, A., Yan, N., Paterson, A.M., Desellas, A., Turner, M.A., Jeffries, D., Keller, B., Weeber, R., McNicol, D.K., Palmer, M.E., McIver, K., Arseneau, K., Ginn, B., Cumming, B., Smol, J.P. 2008. The widespread threat of calcium decline in fresh waters. Science 322.

# Appendix A. Seguin Township Lake List

| Lake Name | 2009 Model Lake | Accessible? | DOC | 'A' | 'B' |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 26 | - | | | x |
| 2 | 27 | - | | | x |
| 3 | 1 | - | | | x |
| 4 | 100 | - | | | x |
| 5 | 87 | - | | | x |
| 7 | 89 | - | | | x |
| 8 | 126 | - | | | x |
| 9 | 127 | - | | | x |
| 10/Good Lake | 95 | No | | | x |
| 6/Vinett Lake | 88 | All Marsh | | | x |
| Aikman Lake | 54 | Yes | | | x |
| Anselmi Lake | 101 | No | | | x |
| Armishaw Lake | 102 | Yes | x | x | |
| Baby Lake | 61 | Yes | X | x | |
| Back Lake | 49 | Yes | x | x | |
| Bennett Lake | 28 | - | | | x |
| Black Water Lake | 97 | Yes | X | x | |
| Blue Lake | 2 | Yes | x | x | |
| Brennan Lake | 15 | Yes | x | x | |
| Brush Lake | 103 | Yes | x | | x |
| Burr Lake | 104 | Yes | | | x |
| Capton Lake | 50 | - | x | x | |
| Carruthers Lake | 62 | Yes | | | x |
| Carter Lake | 105 | - | | | x |
| Catfish Lake | 29 | - | | | x |
| Clear Lake | 63 | Yes | x | x | |
| Clear Lake 1 | 30 | - | | | x |
| Clubbe Lake | 106 | No | | | x |
| Cochrane Lake | 51 | Yes | | | x |
| Cosh Lake | 64 | Yes | x | x | |
| Dainty Lake | 65 | No | | | x |
| Dell Lake | 44 | - | | | x |
| Diamond Lake | 66 | Yes | x | x | |
| Dick Lake | 107 | Yes | | | x |
| Draper Lake | 108 | Yes | X | x | |
| Dyson Lake | 109 | Yes | X | x | |
| Fair Lake | 110 | Yes | x | x | |
| Faris Lake | 67 | Yes | x | x | |
| First Lake | 16 | Yes | X | x | |
| Flaxman Lake | 17 | Yes | x | x | |
| Forget Lake | 31 | Yes | x | x | |
| Fume Lake | 90 | No | | | x |
| Gerow Lake | 111 | Yes | | | x |
| Gilbank Lake | 68 | Yes | X | x | |
| Haines Lake | 42 | Yes | x | x | |
| Hamer Lake | 112 | Yes | | | x |
| Heaslip Lake | 32 | Yes | | | x |
| Hines Lake | 33 | - | | | x |
| Home Lake | 45 | Yes | | | x |
| Hooton Lake | 55 | Yes | x | | x |
| Horn Lake | 84 | No | | | x |
| Horseshoe Lake (includes Virtue | 18 | Yes | X | x | |
| Hurst Lake | 113 | No | | | x |
| Isabella Lake | 60 | Yes | x | x | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jelso Lake | 91 | No | | | x |
| Joselin (Burnt) Lake | 34 | Yes | x | x | |
| Kight Lake | 52 | Yes | x | x | |
| Kingshott Lake | 35 | Yes | x | x | |
| Krapek Lake | 114 | No | | | x |
| Lane Lake | 43 | Yes | X | x | |
| Lieback Lake | 69 | Yes | x | x | |
| Linger Long Lake/Napken | 3 | Yes | X | x | |
| Lioness Lake | 19 | Yes | x | | x |
| Lipscombe Lake | 56 | No | | | x |
| Little Manitouwaga Lake | 98 | No | | | x |
| Little Seguin/Duck Lake | 70 | Yes | x | x | |
| Little Whitefish | 71 | Yes | X | x | |
| Long Lake | 53 | Yes | x | x | |
| Long Lake 1 | 72 | Yes | | x | |
| Loucks Lake | 115 | No | | | x |
| Lovell Lake | 4 | Yes | | | x |
| Lower Fry Lake | 85 | Yes | x | x | |
| Manitouwaba Lake | 99 | Yes | x | x | |
| Maple Lake | 73 | Yes | X | x | |
| Martin Lake | 74 | Yes | x | x | |
| McCan Lake | 116 | No | | | x |
| McCauley Lake | 75 | No | | | x |
| McCoy Lake | 57 | No | | | x |
| McDonald Lake | 5 | Yes | x | x | |
| McGowan Lake | 36 | Yes | x | x | |
| McGruther Lake | 46 | - | | | x |
| McKechine Lake | 37 | Yes | x | x | |
| McLean Lake | 76 | Yes | x | x | |
| McNutt Lake | 47 | Yes | x | x | |
| McTaggart Lake | 117 | Yes | | | x |
| Mirror Lake | 118 | Yes | X | x | |
| Mohan Lake | 77 | No | | | x |
| Motley Lake | 119 | No | | | x |
| Murdock Lake | 6 | Yes | x | x | |
| Mutton Lake | 78 | Yes | x | x | |
| Neville Lake | 48 | Yes | X | x | |
| Oak Lake | 38 | No | | | x |
| Oastler Lake | 7 | Yes | x | x | |
| One Island Lake | 39 | No | | | x |
| Otter Lake | 8 | Yes | X | x | |
| Payne Lake | 40 | No | | | x |
| Pender Lake | 20 | Yes | x | x | |
| Pickering Lake | 120 | No | x | | x |
| Ponsford Lake | 79 | No | | | x |
| Portage Lake | 128 | Yes | X | x | |
| Rankin Lake | 9 | Yes | x | x | |
| Richmond Lake | 41 | Yes | | | x |
| Roberts Lake | 121 | Yes | X | x | |
| Salmon Lake | 10 | Yes | X | x | |
| Santa Lake | 96 | - | | | x |
| Scime Lake | 11 | Yes | | x | |
| Scott Lake | 12 | Yes | x | x | |
| Second Lake | 21 | Yes | x | x | |
| Sovereign Lake | 13 | Yes | x | | x |
| Spectacle Lake | 22 | - | | | x |
| Star Lake | 80 | Yes | x | x | |
| Storm Lake | 94 | Yes | x | x | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sucker Lake | 122 | Yes | X | x | |
| Sugar Lake | 81 | Yes | X | x | |
| Tarver Lake | 58 | No | | | x |
| Ten Mile Lake | 92 | Yes | x | x | |
| Third Lake | 23 | Yes | x | x | |
| Three-Legged Lake | 59 | Yes | X | x | |
| Tiffin/Silver Lake | 123 | Yes | x | x | |
| Trout Lake | 72.5 | Yes | x | x | |
| Tub Lake | 93 | Yes | x | x | |
| Tucker Lake | 124 | Yes | X | x | |
| Turtle | 82 | Yes | X | x | |
| Upper Fry Lake | 86 | Yes | x | x | |
| Watson Lake | 125 | Yes | | | x |
| Whitefish Lake | 83 | Yes | X | x | |
| Windfall Lake | 24 | Yes | x | x | |
| Wright Lake | 14 | Yes | | | x |
| Yarrow Lake | 25 | Yes | x | x | |

KRH